

Quasiparticle weights and many-body states

Sohrab Ismail-Beigi

February 25, 2014

Choose an orthonormal basis of one-particle states $\phi_n(x)$. We will work in this basis with an N electron system with ground state $|0, N\rangle$ of energy E_0^N and with $N \pm 1$ electron excited states $|m, N \pm 1\rangle$ of energy $E_m^{N \pm 1}$. The Green's matrix in the Källen-Lehmann representation is given by

$$G_{nm}(\omega) = \sum_p \frac{f_p(n)f_p(m)^*}{\omega - \epsilon_p + i0^+} + \sum_h \frac{f_h(n)f_h(m)^*}{\omega - \epsilon_h - i0^+}.$$

The particle or “conduction” (p) and hole or “valence” (h) states are just labels for many-body states for $N + 1$ and $N - 1$ electrons:

$$\begin{aligned} f_p(n) &= \langle 0, N | \hat{a}_n | p, N + 1 \rangle \quad , \quad f_h(n) = \langle 0, N | \hat{a}_n^\dagger | h, N - 1 \rangle , \\ \epsilon_p &= E_p^{N+1} - E_0^N \quad , \quad \epsilon_h = E_h^N - E_0^{N-1} . \end{aligned}$$

The amplitudes $f_j(n)$ are overlaps of many-body and single-particle type states: *e.g.*

$$|f_p(n)|^2 = |\langle p, N + 1 | \{ \hat{a}_n^\dagger | 0, N \} |^2$$

is the amount of the single-particle type state obtained by adding an electron in state n to the ground-state in the actual many-body excited state p . We have the simple sum rules

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p |f_p(n)|^2 &= \langle 0, N | \hat{a}_n \hat{a}_n^\dagger | 0, N \rangle = 1 - \langle 0, N | \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n | 0, N \rangle \\ \sum_h |f_h(n)|^2 &= \langle 0, N | \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n | 0, N \rangle \\ \sum_p |f_p(n)|^2 + \sum_h |f_h(n)|^2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

which directly relates to the occupancy of the state n . The off diagonal generalizations tell us about the ground-state one-particle density matrix, *e.g.*

$$\sum_h f_h(n)f_h(m)^* = \langle 0, N | \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_m | 0, N \rangle .$$

At any rate, these sum rules tell us the “amount” of an added or subtracted single particle in all of the many-body Hilbert space which is a simple question with a simple answer.

What we really want is the converse: to what extent does an actual many-body excitation look like it is made from various combinations of adding single electrons to $|0, N\rangle$? Here we are taking the many-body ground state and adding an electron in a single-particle state to it which does not give us an eigenstate in general. We want the sum

$$S_p = \sum_n |f_p(n)|^2 = \sum_n \langle 0, N | \hat{a}_n | p, N + 1 \rangle \langle p, N + 1 | \hat{a}_n^\dagger | 0, N \rangle$$

This is not very easy to understand as written. To see the role of S_j , set $n = m$ and sum over all n for the Green’s function matrix to get

$$\sum_n G_{nn}(\omega) = \sum_p \frac{S_p}{\omega - \epsilon_p + i0^+} + \sum_h \frac{S_h}{\omega - \epsilon_h - i0^+}.$$

If S_p is very close to unity, then we can say that the many-body excited state looks like some linear combination of single-electron states added to the ground state (and by doing a unitary transform we can change that to a single electron state). In the usual quasiparticle approximation, we assume the S_j are unity for our one-particle band states and zero otherwise. Note that there are *many* more many-body states than single-particle states (the latter always can be counted with a discrete integer index at some crystal momentum whereas the former become a continuum above some energy).

To make more progress, we use an alternate form of the Green’s matrix. The Dyson equation gives us an energy dependent “Hamiltonian”. Specifically,

$$G_{nm}(\omega) = [\omega I - H(\omega)]_{nm}^{-1},$$

where all the matrices are in the ϕ_n basis, and $H(\omega)$ is our “Hamiltonian” matrix from Dyson’s equation: $H = T + U_{ion} + U_{Hartree} + \Sigma(\omega)$. We diagonalize $H(\omega)$ at a given ω with eigenvectors V and eigenvalues e and label the eigenstates with a discrete index α :

$$G_{nm}(\omega) = \sum_\alpha \frac{V(\omega)_{n\alpha} V(\omega)_{\alpha m}^{-1}}{\omega - e_\alpha(\omega)}. \quad (1)$$

We do not assume orthonormal eigenvectors for $H(\omega)$ so we must use of the inverse matrix $V(\omega)^{-1}$. This particular form is more useful than choosing left and right eigenvectors.

We find solutions to the mathematical equation

$$\omega = e_\alpha(\omega).$$

for a given a fixed α over complex ω . Let a solution be $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$, $\bar{\omega}_\alpha = e_\alpha(\bar{\omega}_\alpha)$. Good physical solutions are quasiparticles which have small imaginary parts for $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$ (i.e., long lifetimes) and are isolated from other solutions. When scanning over real ω , this means that $G(\omega)$ will have a sharp and narrow peak in the form of an isolated pole around $\text{Re}(\bar{\omega}_\alpha)$.

Close to such a pole, we can isolate the contribution from $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$ from the remaining background,

$$G_{nm}(\omega) = \frac{Z_\alpha V(\bar{\omega}_\alpha)_{n\alpha} V(\bar{\omega}_\alpha)_{\alpha m}^{-1}}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_\alpha} + B_\alpha(\omega),$$

where the background $B_\alpha(\omega)$ is a smooth and analytic function of ω about $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$ coming from other states in the sum in Equation (1) as well as subleading terms from expanding about the α term itself about $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$. The quasiparticle renormalization factor is defined as

$$Z_\alpha \equiv \left(1 - \frac{de_\alpha(\bar{\omega}_\alpha)}{d\omega} \right)^{-1}.$$

Setting $n = m$ and summing over n gives a simple pole and a new background about $\bar{\omega}_\alpha$,

$$\sum_n G_{nn}(\omega) = \frac{Z_\alpha}{\omega - \bar{\omega}_\alpha} + C_\alpha(\omega).$$

Comparing to our previous result, we see that

$$Z_\alpha \cong S_j$$

when we can make a good identification of a quasiparticle state. To the extent that $Z_\alpha \approx 1$, we can replace our many-body excited states by simple states coming from adding electrons into single-particle states above the ground state.