

# Infinite Coulomb sums and Ewald summation

Consider the electrostatic energy of a set of point charges  $Q_j$  at  $\vec{r}_j$ :

$$E_H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{\|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j\|}$$

Due to the long range of  $1/r$  this sum can be ill-defined for  $\infty$  &/or periodic systems (IR divergence). So we first cut off the Coulomb  $1/r$  potential at long distances  $r > \Lambda$  & call it  $v^\Lambda(r)$ . Examples are

$$\begin{aligned} v^\Lambda(r) &= \frac{e^{-r/\Lambda}}{r} \quad \text{Yukawa cutoff} \\ &= \frac{\text{erfc}(r/\Lambda)}{r} \quad \text{Gaussian (Ewald) cutoff} \end{aligned}$$

We will later send  $\Lambda \rightarrow +\infty$  & see what happens.

So

$$E_H^\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} Q_j Q_k v^\Lambda(|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_k|)$$

Notice that  $v^\Lambda(0)$  never occurs here so there is no short-ranged (UV) divergence possible.

To make some progress, we see that

$$E_H^\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j Q_j \phi_j, \quad \phi_j = \sum_{k \neq j} Q_k v^\Lambda(|\vec{r}_k - \vec{r}_j|)$$

So the real challenge is to find  $\phi_j$ .

Elementary point: even if  $\phi_j$  is well-defined, the sum over  $j$  in  $E_H^\wedge = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j Q_j \phi_j$  may diverge simply because the number of charges is large  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So we really should just focus on  $\phi_j$  since it is intensive &  $\phi_j/2$  is energy per particle per unit charge.

$$\phi_j = \sum_{k \neq j} Q_k v^\wedge(r_{kj}) : \vec{r}_{kj} = \vec{r}_k - \vec{r}_j, r_{kj} = \|\vec{r}_{kj}\|$$

This sum converges for  $\lambda > 0$  so we now find a good way to calculate it by splitting up  $v^\wedge$  into a short-ranged & long-ranged part à-la-Ewald:

$$\begin{aligned} v^\wedge(r) &= \underbrace{v^\wedge(r) - v^\lambda(r)}_{\vec{V}_\ell(r)} + \underbrace{v^\lambda(r)}_{\text{short-ranged}} \\ &= \vec{V}_\ell(r) + V_s(r) \end{aligned}$$

$\vec{V}_\ell(r)$  long-ranged       $V_s(r)$  short-ranged

where  $\lambda \ll 1$  is a microscopic cutoff used for efficient computation.  $\lambda$  is fixed.

$$\phi_j = \underbrace{\sum_{k \neq j} Q_k V_s(r_{kj})}_{\phi_{js}^\lambda} + \underbrace{\sum_{k \neq j} Q_k V_\ell(r_{kj})}_{\phi_{jl}^{\lambda, \lambda}}$$

$\phi_{js}^\lambda$  is a rapidly convergent sum in real space & is not a worry

$$\phi_{jl} = \sum_k Q_k v_l(r_{kj}) - Q_j v_l(0)$$

where we added + subtracted  $j$  to unrestricted  $k$ -sum for Fourier analysis. We expect  $v_l(0)$  to be finite as both  $v^{\wedge}$  &  $v^{\vee}$  behave as  $1/r + O(r^0)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  as the difference should be finite. Examples:

$$\text{Yukawa case: } v_l(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-r/\Lambda} - e^{-r/\lambda}}{r} = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\Lambda}$$

$$\text{Gaussian Case: } v_l(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{erfc}(r/\lambda) - \text{erfc}(r/\Lambda)}{r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\Lambda} \right)$$

Also, the appearance of  $v_l(0)$  is in some sense artificial since it is canceled by the  $j=k$  term.

$$\Rightarrow \phi_{jl} = \sum_k Q_k v_l(r_{kj}) - Q_j v_l(0), \quad v_l(0) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\Lambda}$$

$$\text{Now Fourier analyze } v_l(r) = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} \hat{v}_l(q)$$

$$\text{Examples: } \text{Coulomb } \frac{1}{r} \rightarrow \frac{4\pi}{q^2}$$

$$\text{Yukawa } \frac{e^{-r/\Lambda}}{r} \rightarrow \frac{4\pi}{q^2 + \Lambda^{-2}}$$

$$\text{Gaussian } \frac{\text{erfc}(r/\lambda)}{r} \rightarrow \frac{4\pi}{q^2} \left( 1 - e^{-q^2 \lambda^2/4} \right)$$

$$\phi_{jl} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{v}_l(q) \sum_k Q_k e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}_{kj}} - Q_j v_l(0)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{v}_l(q) e^{-i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}_j} S(\vec{q}) - Q_j v_l(0)$$

$$S(\vec{q}) \equiv \sum_k Q_k e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}_k} \text{ is structure factor}$$

The potentially troublesome part of the integral is around  $\vec{g}=0$  where  $\hat{v}_l(0)$  can become large as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ : e.g.  $\hat{v}_l(g) = \frac{4\pi}{g^2 + \lambda^2} - \frac{4\pi}{g^2 + \lambda'^2}$

$$\hat{v}_l(0) = 4\pi(\lambda^2 - \lambda'^2) \text{ for Yukawa}$$

$$\hat{v}_l(0) = \pi(\lambda^2 - \lambda'^2) \text{ for Gaussian}$$

But for a neutral system,  $\sum_k Q_k = S(0) = 0$  +

$\hat{v}_l(0)$  is not relevant. If  $S(0) \neq 0$ , we may get in trouble.

To be more precise, we will assume a periodic system:

lattice vectors  $\vec{R}$  + positions in unit cell are  $\vec{r}$ . Thus

$$S(\vec{g}) = \sum_k Q_k e^{i\vec{g} \cdot \vec{r}_k} = \sum_{\vec{R}} \sum_{\vec{r}} Q_{\vec{r}} e^{i\vec{g} \cdot \vec{r} + i\vec{g} \cdot \vec{R}} = \sum_{\vec{r}} Q_{\vec{r}} e^{i\vec{g} \cdot \vec{r}} \underbrace{\sum_{\vec{R}} e^{i\vec{g} \cdot \vec{R}}}_{\text{standard periodic sum}}$$

$$S(\vec{g}) = \sum_{\vec{r}} Q_{\vec{r}} e^{i\vec{g} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{(2\pi)^3}{\Omega} \sum_{\vec{G}} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{g} - \vec{G}) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{\Omega} \sum_{\vec{G}} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{g} - \vec{G})$$

$\Omega = \text{volume of unit cell}$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{Tl} &= \sum_{\vec{G}} e^{-i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{\hat{v}_l(G)}{\Omega} S(G) - Q_{\vec{r}} v_l(0) \\ &= \sum_{\vec{G} \neq 0} e^{-i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{\hat{v}_l(G)}{\Omega} S(G) + \underbrace{\frac{\hat{v}_l(0)}{\Omega} S(0) - Q_{\vec{r}} v_l(0)}_{\text{troublesome if } S(0) \neq 0} \end{aligned}$$

rapidly convergent  
for large  $\vec{G}$  since  
 $\hat{v}_l(G) \rightarrow 0$  faster than  
 $1/G^2$  as  $|\vec{G}| \rightarrow \infty$

troublesome  
if  $S(0) \neq 0$

For periodic system we then have

$$\phi_{\tau} = \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \tau'} Q_{\tau} \cdot v_s(\|\vec{R} + \vec{\tau}' - \vec{\tau}\|) + \sum_{\mathbf{G} \neq 0} e^{-i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{\tau}} \frac{\hat{v}_\ell(\mathbf{G})}{\Omega} S(\mathbf{G}) + \frac{\hat{v}_\ell(0) S(0)}{\Omega} - Q_{\tau} v_\ell(0)$$

- the first 2 terms converge very well.
- the third may be trouble
- the fourth is finite &  $\lambda$  dependent

$v_\ell(0) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\Lambda}$  is well-behaved for  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$\hat{v}_\ell(0) \sim \Lambda^2 - \lambda^2$  is divergent for  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$

so we need  $S(0) = 0 = \sum_{\tau} Q_{\tau}$  : net neutral unit cell

If  $S(0) \neq 0$  answer is  $\infty$  & certainly depends on details of cutoff for large but finite  $\Lambda$ .

When  $\sum_{\tau} Q_{\tau} = 0$ , we can send  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  & get a well-defined answer.

Final answer is:



① Let  $S(\vec{G}) = \sum_{\tau} Q_{\tau} e^{i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{\tau}}$  ;  $S(0) = 0$  required

② Pick finite  $\lambda$  & define

$$v_s(r) = v^{\lambda}(r)$$

$$v_l(r) = \frac{1}{r} - v^{\lambda}(r) \quad v_l(0) \sim 1/\lambda$$

③ Compute

$$\phi_{\tau} = \sum_{\vec{R}, \tau'} Q_{\tau'} v^{\lambda}(\|\vec{R} + \vec{\tau}' - \vec{\tau}\|) + \sum_{\vec{G} \neq 0} \frac{\hat{v}_l(\vec{G}) e^{-i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{\tau}} S(\vec{G})}{\Omega} - Q_{\tau} v_l(0)$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad E_H / \text{cell} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau} Q_{\tau} \phi_{\tau}$$